SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A—43. (*a*) The Village Improvement Scheme has been in force ever since it was introduced. Every year a grant is being sanctioned from State Funds for this scheme. This year a provision of Rs. 30,000 has been made in the sanctioned budget and the same has been distributed among the several Deputy Commissioners according to their needs. In addition to the above, a sum of Rs. 5,000 each has been sanctioned to the Districts of Kolar and Tumkur in G.O. No. R. 1370-3—R.M. 7-25-24, dated 16th September 1925 and again in G.O. No. R. 2761-4—R.M. 7-25-30, dated 28th November 1925, a sum of Rs. 4,000 has been sanctioned to Tumkur District for Village Improvement Works. In G.O. No. R. 153-162—R.M. 2-25-1, dated 11th July 1925, the villagers' contribution has been reduced to one half of the cost. Whenever there is any demand from any district for additional grants, the same is being sanctioned by Government.

(*b*) In many places in the State there is lack of good roads.

(*c*) The existing rules provide for the grant of contributions by Government for roads undertaken by villagers. Recently Government have reduced the share payable by the villagers for these works and it is hoped that after the Village Panchayet Bill is passed, popular interest in the scheme will increase and the construction of roads in rural areas will be accelerated.

Supplementary Questions.

Mr. S. Chikkamuniappa —

With reference to section (*c*) will the Government be pleased to state whether they cannot force the villagers to undertake the road works?

Dewan.—

The matter will be considered.

BY MR. D. S. HANUMANTHA RAO (Devanhalli Taluk).—

Q.—44. Will the Government be pleased to furnish the following information in regard to the amount of potgi paid to Patels and Shanbhogs:—

(*a*) What was the Jamabandi of each taluk before the introduction of revision settlement?

(*b*) What amount of potgi was paid to Patels and Shanbhogs during that period?

(*c*) What is the increase in the revenue where revision settlement is completed?

(*d*) What is the amount of revenue expected to be increased where revision settlement is not as yet completed?

(*e*) What is the amount of potgi fixed for payment to Patels and Shanbhogs in each taluk where revision settlement has already been introduced?

(*f*) What is the amount of potgi to be fixed for payment to Patels and Shanbhogs in each taluk where revision settlement has yet to be introduced?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—44. (*a*), (*b*), (*c*) and (*e*) A statement furnishing the information required is placed on the table (*vide* Appendix X).

(*d*) and (*f*) This information cannot be furnished now. The amount of revenue and of the potgi will be known only after the resettlement proposals of the respective taluks are sanctioned by Government.

BY MR. K. P. PARASIVIAH (Kankanhalli Municipality).—

Q.—45. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

What is the number of cases of unauthorised alienation of Inam lands endowed to Muzrai institutions (including Mutts) in the Mysore State reported in the year 1924-25, and how many of them have been disposed of and how many are pending?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A—45. A statement containing the information required is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix XI.)

Supplementary Questions.

Mr. K. P. Parasiviah.—

In Appendix XI, it is noted in the foot-note that the information for Mysore and Bangalore Districts are awaited. Will the Government be pleased to state when the information will be furnished?